

PROPOSED WAIKATO REGIONAL PLAN CHANGE 1 WAIKATO AND WAIPĀ RIVER CATCHMENTS



Submission form on publicly notified – Proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 – Waikato and Waipā River Catchments.

Important: Save this PDF to your computer before answering. If you edit the original form from this webpage, your changes will not save. Please check or update your software to allow for editing. We recommend Acrobat Reader.

FORM 5 Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

SubForm	PC12016	COVER SHEET	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			
		Submission Number	
Entered		Initials	
File Ref		Sheet 1 of	

SUBMISSIONS CAN BE

Mailed to	Chief Executive, 401 Grey Street, Private Bag 3038, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240
Delivered to	Waikato Regional Council, 401 Grey Street, Hamilton East, Hamilton
Faxed to	(07) 859 0998 <i>Please Note: if you fax your submission, please post or deliver a copy to one of the above addresses</i>
Emailed to	healthyivers@waikatoregion.govt.nz <i>Please Note: Submissions received by email must contain full contact details.</i>
Online at	www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/healthyivers
We need to receive your submission by 5pm, 8 March 2017.	

YOUR NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS

Full name: Bruce Ward
 Full address: 1290 Waipapa Rd RD7 Te Awamutu 3877
 Email: bruce12@slingshot.co.nz
 Phone: 027 3113460 Fax: _____

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE OF SUBMITTER

Full name: Bruce Ward
 Address for service of person making submission: 1290 Waipapa Rd RD7 Te Awamutu 3877
 Email: bruce12@slingshot.co.nz
 Phone: 027 3113460 Fax: _____

TRADE COMPETITION AND ADVERSE EFFECTS (select appropriate)

- I could / could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- I am / am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
- (a) adversely affects the environment, and
 - (b) does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- WRC said NO applies but I am a farmer and effects on environment do affect me/my business.*

Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.
 I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

JOINT SUBMISSIONS

- If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW

- Yes, I have attached extra sheets. No, I have not attached extra sheets.

SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER

Signature: BR Ward

Date: 8 March 2017

Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

I am a director/shareholder in a 97ha Dairy Farm milking 270 Friesian Dairy Cows in Arohena. 30 minutes South of Te Awamutu. Priority 3 sub catchment
Stocking rate of 2.78 cows/ha over total 97ha, 3.25 cows/ha over eff 83ha
Nitrogen reference point is yet to be determined. We have 3.2km of creeks resulting in 6.4km of fencing consisting of posts/waratahas with 1-2 wires electrified. We have spent \$120,000 on a new effluent system VOLUNTARILY to future proof the property from heavy rainfall and possible breakdowns. We apply effluent to the whole property apart from 10(ish)ha of steeper land.

Some riparian management plantings have been done but we are waiting for WRC to contact us RE: Variation 6 requirements as to what we require for it. (waiting 2+ years despite our continual asking)

My Major concern is farming businesses have to have serious changes/money outlays to meet 1 July 2026 river quality targets but Point Source Discharges such as Town Sewage Plants do not which will mean Targets at end of 10 years may not be met and further blame will be placed on farmers instead of the Point Source Dischargers.

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

PLEASE INDICATE BY TICKING THE RELEVANT BOX WHETHER YOU WISH TO BE HEARD IN SUPPORT OF YOUR SUBMISSION

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.
 I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submissions.

JOINT SUBMISSIONS

- If others make a similar submission, please tick this box if you will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

IF YOU HAVE USED EXTRA SHEETS FOR THIS SUBMISSION PLEASE ATTACH THEM TO THIS FORM AND INDICATE BELOW

- Yes, I have attached extra sheets. No, I have not attached extra sheets.

SIGNATURE OF SUBMITTER

Signature: DR Ward

Date: 8 March 2017

Personal information is used for the administration of the submission process and will be made public. All information collected will be held by Waikato Regional Council, with submitters having the right to access and correct personal information.

CONTINUED PREVIOUS PAGE

If costs of implementing changes and monitoring (continual-yearly) are born by farmers alot of farming operations will be uneconomic. Properties will continue to be sold to Non New Zealanders.

I have not seen any costs of what all towns sewerage systems will cost to upgrade them so they comply with Objective 1 so do not believe that the current proposal is justifiable as townies do not know true cost to them to meet Objective 1.

The Farm Environment Plan will cost a minimum of \$5,000 per property as they have to be vary detailed. This should be born by WRC as it benefits EVERYONE. Yearly monitoring MUST BE kept to minimum and borne by farm owner.

Power generator Dams on Waikato River are not mentioned unless they are classed as Point Source Discharges. The power companies are to put in place by 1 July 2026, steps to reduce the nutrient levels leaving the Dams by 10% using weed mats to absorb nutrients/ removal of sediment building up from Dam being there as it would have normally flushed out to sea years ago.

I oppose "enterprise" being in this document. All properties to have own

PLEASE CHECK that you have provided all of the information requested and if you are having trouble filling out this actual form, phone Waikato Regional Council on 0800 800 401 for help.

nitrogen reference point.

THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS OF PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 THAT MY SUBMISSION RELATES TO

Please state the provision, map or page number e.g. Objective 4 or Rule 3.11.5.1 (Continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

3.11.3 Policies 1(a)

I SUPPORT OR OPPOSE THE ABOVE PROVISION/S

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Support the above provisions
- Support the above provision with amendments
- Oppose the above provisions

MY SUBMISSION IS THAT

Tell us the reasons why you support or oppose or wish to have the specific provisions amended. (Please continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

I oppose based on if the low level of contaminant is higher than that set ~~by~~ by Government National Policy re: freshwater standards then it must reduce NOT "do not increase" (stay same)

Who determines what a "low level of contaminant" discharge is.

I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION BY COUNCIL

(Select as appropriate and continue on separate sheet(s) if necessary).

- Accept the above provision
- Accept the above provision with amendments as outlined
- Decline the above provision
- If not declined, then amend the above provision as outlined

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 1(b)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

States
Requiring "farming activities"....

should be amended to
Requiring "All activities."

Reason

There are alot of town
sewage systems that are
discharging moderate to high
levels of contaminants to water
bodies.
Every industry has to
contribute from day 1 equally

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 1(c)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Need to add

wether they flow all
year (with water) or
part of a year no
matter how wide or
deep

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 2 (c)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

Nitrogen Reference Point for the property or enterprise.

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

I oppose "enterprises".
Each property is to have its own actual Nitrogen Reference Point. Allowing multiple property owners to choose one NRP to represent all the properties is wrong. ie NRP 35 45 55 can use 55 for all 3 which means he can emit more leaching from other 2 properties. Also No trading Nitrogen to other areas
Continues

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

Continued

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

if 3 properties Te Awamutu dairy 45
Te Kuiti beef 35NRP
Otorohanga dairy 55
by choosing 55NRP for all 3 properties
Te Kuiti may already have high contaminants for its sub catchment but you are increasing it by letting farmer use 55NRP rather than its actual of 35NRP
Especially if 55NRP is not in top 25% of emitters/polluters.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 2 (e)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>Stock exclusion within 3 years of FEP or no later than 1 July 2026</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Our property is fully fenced drains creeks everything</p> <p>Some bigger properties beef/sheep & beef may have financial limitations to doing this by specified date. Needs to be case by case & provided has made considerable effort maybe extension couple years for Sheep areas. Not beef animals.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 2 (a,b,d)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>agree plans do need doing but it must be paid for by Waikato Regional Council or Government</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Waikato Regional Council or Government is to pay for the plans to be done, as they benefit everyone (results of FEP) and are being forced upon farmers. Our farm has already done a farm plan by Dairy NZ several years ago and implemented the actions. Why should we pay again.</p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: **3.11.3 Policies 4**

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

existing and new low discharging activities to continue....
may in the future need to take action to reduce....

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Waikato Regional Council is to contact them immediately and notify them that by 2026 1 July they need to comply. All farmers-dairy/beet.. are expected to out of their own pockets so all this group need to from targeted rates by 1 July 2026 EVERYONE should be making 100% effort otherwise 10 year target wont be met.

Section number of the Plan Change: **3.11.3 Policy 5**

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

Time is needed for innovation and new practices to develop.

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

We are currently (and have been for some years) following Best Farm Practice
Doing nothing and waiting for innovation is NOT an option

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 6

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

I do support provided each property uses its "own" Nitrogen Reference Point figure

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Object: a dairy farm discharge NRP 60 bys beef block next door which has NRP 25 and milks off it, the dairy block drops but beef block goes up even though they together may discharge less as one block than seperately. Beef block is increasing so should not be granted consent

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 7

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

develop modelling tools to estimate

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Modelling tools is theoretical as is estimate. There are way too many variable outcomes depending on who does it and depending on what they think may or may not happen.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 7(a)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>But</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>land will need to be retired and compensated for to remove stock from it. Government gave money to retire land in Taupo catchment so should here as well.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 7(b)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>allowance for flexibility of development of maori land.</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Maori have had overwhelming involvement throughout whole process, collaborative stakeholder group/healthy River Waiora group and Waikato Regional Council as well as Maori Collaborative Group meetings. Maori say they want water returned to what use to be but this section says they can develop land ignoring everyone else's efforts to clean up the Rivers. They should not be given special treatment.</p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 8

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

fully agree.

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 9 (b)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

But add

Must be factual for each water body.
 Lake Waikare has alot sediment from Matahura Valley.
 Where rivers meet at Taupiri and Ugarawhia one has sediment problems other dosent.
 Each water body to be treated seperately for its own problems/contaminants

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 9(c)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Rotorua has floating weed mats that absorb nutrients

there are other ideas in farming papers to extract pollutants that could be more cost effective to economy than farmers cutting back stock numbers resulting in firing labour/spending less in local businesses so they end up closing down.

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 9(d)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Object to multiple farming enterprises even though they exist

All properties to have their "Own" Nitrogen Reference Point. No choosing one to represent multiple properties.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 10 (a)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Continued operation of regionally significant infrastructure

No. The majority (if not all) towns along Waikato River and tributaries Do NOT meet government standards for discharge to water. They HAVE TO COMPLY. by 1 July 2026. Te Awamutu sewage plant has a holding pond to divert into when high risk of heavy rainfall. All councils are to do this (sealed ponds) as heavy rainfall episodes are when "incidents" occur and NOONE is EVER held accountable

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 10 (b)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Continued operation of regionally significant industry

No. They comply or get shut down. Environment is more important. At Ohinewai Max Bit sawmills is polluting into a drain which leads into Lake Waikare. WRC has known this for years/decades but allows it to operate because it employs alot of people. Enough is enough. Comply or shut down!!! These pollutants then enter Whangamarino Wetlands.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 11 (a)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>Significant toxic adverse effect.</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Who decides "significant" definition. Pollution is pollution. Pollution is not going to clean up river quality to complying with objective 1.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 11 (b)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>offset.</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>object to offset being in an alternative location or locations to the Point Source discharge (PSD) ie. PSD and 10 properties are up a creek. Creek is heavily polluted no wildlife/not swimmable. PSD is the cause not 10 properties. if offset somewhere else then that creek will always be polluted/dead. This is opposite of objective 1.</p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 11 (c & d)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Offset

NO OFFSET.

All Point Source Discharges to Comply with Government standards.

All towns to have sewage storage ponds (lined/sealed) for overflow during heavy rainfall incidents/mechanical breakdown.

Farmers (dairy) are and have been installing them.

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 12 (a)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

relative proportion of NP.....
to the catchment load.

Object to catchment load.

at end of 10 years there has to be a measurable decline in contaminants.

If point source discharge is doing nothing but all farmers are then result at 10 years could be NO CHANGE and farmers will be blamed and hit with harsh new rules.

Everyone has to do their bit from day one

So there is a measurable decline at year 10.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies #12 (b)

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>Past technology upgrades undertaken -----</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Dairy farmers use to have effluent 3 pond systems. Then WRC said have to spray it out daily from a sump. Now pond again. A lot of pollution is because WRC always changing rules to stupid daily pump out. I converted my parents farm back to Dairy 13 years ago. WRC said effluent system had to be daily pump to pasture despite fact that farm backs onto Lake Waikare</p>

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>and has high water table most of year due to Lake Waikare being kept high. I wanted pond on top of ground. (cant dig in as high water table). WRC said NO!!! I had to install daily pump out as have many other farmers some who still use them today as WRC allow them to even though WRC know its polluting environment.</p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 12 (c)

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>ability to stage future mitigation actions to allow investment costs ...</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>object. Work needs to be done now. Dairy farmers have been are are. Beef farmers have to by 1 July 2026 according to this Document. So Too should Point Source Discharges. Farmers have to find money <u>NOW</u> themselves as should PSD polluters.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies¹²(d)

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>diminishing return on investment</p> <p>Best practicable Option.</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>farmers are spending \$100,000+ on new effluent systems. Our farm 270 cows spent \$120,000 We dont get it back when we sell the farm. Majority of towns are BREACHING resource consent levels for discharge to water along Waikato River and tributaries (Waipā...), Put in holding ponds to stop "incidents/breaches" happening. They are NOT doing Best Practicable Option</p>

Currently.
Te Awamutu Sewage Plant has sealed lined holding pond.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 13 (a)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

25 year consent.

Cambridge has been given a 23 year consent by WRC to discharge sewage plant waste into river, knowing it is NOT meeting Government Standards/WRC standards. This is on top of WRC knowing that Cambridge is continuing to grow population extremely fast. It can't cope now but given 23 year consent.

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 14 & 15

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

14) Has to be Lake-by-Lake approach as all of them by Huntly are completely different problems.

15) Te Kauwhata Sewage Scheme → As does Max Birt Sawmills is polluting straight into Lake Waikare which feeds Whangamarino wetland. WRC look other way. WDC & WRC look other way to pollution.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 16

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>I oppose this whole section as it is Racist & allows Maori to develop/pollute land in complete contradiction to Objective 1.</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Maori have been fully involved in Collaborative Stakeholders group/ Healthy Rivers Wai Ora Committee/ WRC & special Maori/Council Mtgs. They say they care about the river ... but you are allowing them to pollute it. One rule for all no matter what race you are.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.3 Policies 17

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>Support the opportunities listed</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.4.2 (abcde).

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>Major concerns over WRC being able to do this policy.</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>We provide information to Fonterra/DairyNZ Clean Streams Accord/statistics NZ.... and now we have to supply the same information AGAIN to WRC. c) says information sharing. My farm is private and personal and I do not want my information shared. e) consistency. WRC monitor Taupo Catchment which is under N capping.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>Also WRC has had my Variation 6 water consent for over 2 years. Back in September 2016 they said doing it now. Will have it in couple weeks. 21 Dec 2016 emailed where is it. Still No reply. WRC are Useless</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Their staff have different interpretations of same rule. ie One staff member includes stock numbers on beginning of month but other staffer is middle of month meaning farmer can go over N capping on his farm for 2 weeks. WRC dont check yearly accounts. ie. if you say you have 50 stock on. you be actually have 100. you sell all 100 but in 2 lots but only present 1 docket to WRC they think you only have 50 stock on.</p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.4.3

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

Farms Environment Plans

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

I have concerns over what info they want that does not relate specifically to the purposes to comply with Objective 1, and who they will share the info with once they have it.

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.4.6

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

funding through annual plan and LTP

Decision Sought

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

should be paid for by everyone as benefits everyone but needs to be part of UAGC Uniform annual general charge so everyone is charged evenly fairly.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.4.7 (b)

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>ii define land suitability</p> <p>iii enterprises</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>also need to secure government funding to "retire" worst land. as did with Lake Taupo catchment. WRC also need to look at purchasing land to reestablish wetlands to filter contaminants from areas. want enterprises removed all properties to be treated as individuals with "own" "actual" Nitrogen Reference Point.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.4.9

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

effects of urban development

these developments have to comply with policies to achieve Objective 1 as if they were operating at full capacity/occupancy from day one that consent is sought. If it doesn't then it "MUST NOT" proceed.

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.4.11 (a)

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Review & report on progress towards 80 year objective

I have concerns as this policy (if unchanged) is all about farmers changing by 1 July 2026 & nothing about Point Source Discharge as a lot of them have resource consents (some for a long time yet) and they will not be contributing to 10 year 1st Stage.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.5.2 4b*4*i

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

The Nitrogen Reference Point

I object to the NRP being taken from only a 2 season period. WRC gave Taupo a 5 year period.
 eg. My parents are at Ohinewai 100ha grazing 100 11month dairy grazers through to 22 months. My brother was leasing it prior to the 2 year period running beef but went to Aussie. They are doing this number as they are in their 70's and dont want pressure of the

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

farms actual stock capacity. They have been unfairly disadvantaged by this 2 year period. They cant sell as no-one will buy it running only 1/3-1/2 capacity as a Resource Consent will now be required BUT DECLINED to put more stock on. WRC has made this property uneconomic and worthless.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.5.2 4b

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>ii 15kg N /ha/yr</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>object. A lot of soil types cant even do this low.</p> <p>eg. Teawamutu vs Stk Island just south Christchurch</p> <p>Same — Cow numbers Same — ha Same — production</p> <p>fontora leaching 40kg N/ha/yr 6kg N/ha/yr</p> <p>15kg N/ha/yr is unrealistic</p>

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.5.2 4c

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>>15° slope no cultivation or grazed</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>Object. That is all my farm 97 total ha / 83 eff ha</p> <p>Are WRC going to buy the farm as cant even graze on it, under proposal</p> <p>Cultivation should be >35° grazing should be 80° you can graze sheep on steep land provided they not pushed and they will NOT expose dirt to be washed away</p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.5.2 4(d)

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>in situ</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>No winter crops to be grazed on site/on property ... (in situ)</p> <p>Why are WRC not allowing winter crops to be grown in Waikato - Stupid.</p> <p>Remove this section (d)</p>

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision? Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p>

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3.11.5.3

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Permitted activity Rule
FEP under Certified Industry
Scheme

The cost of the original document under Certified Industry Scheme is to be born by WRC. It will cost minimum \$5000 per property due to Consultants being used and the number of hours on a property (and after writing report/document for WRC) to detail every part of the property.

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support

Oppose

Submission

Decision Sought

State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.

State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.

Nitrogen Reference Point as per
Schedule B
taken from 2 years
2014/15 & 2015/16
commercial vegetable prodⁿ is
2006-2016.

should be 5 year period to choose from.
prior to 2 years the property my parents own at Ohinewai was running beef. My brother moved to Australia leaving my parents (both in 70's) to run the farm again. To make it easy they run 100 yearlings on 100ha and sell baleage. The farm under the proposal is worthless as no one can buy it and stock it appropriately. Not even a neighbor. Commercial vegetable producers to have

2 year 2014/15 and 2015/16 period same as farmers as farming & vege production is weather affected.

ADDITIONAL SHEET TO ASSIST IN MAKING A SUBMISSION

Section number of the Plan Change: 3-11.5.5

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p> <p>total land used for prodⁿ during 1 July 2006 and 30 June 2016</p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p> <p>farmers are restricted under the proposal to 2014/15 and 2015/16 years production. So too should commercial vegetable growers if proposal is adopted. If commercial growers can choose best of 10 year period so should farmers otherwise growers 2014/15 2015/16 may have 200ha but 2006-2016 was 400ha in best year. This means they can expand back to 400ha without ramifications.</p>

Section number of the Plan Change:

Do you support or oppose the provision?

Support Oppose

Submission	Decision Sought
<p><i>State in summary the nature of your submission and the reasons for it.</i></p>	<p><i>State clearly the decision and/or suggested changes you want Council to make on the provision.</i></p>